

Abstract:

This section is bad written and many important issues have been loosed. What is the novelty, the problem that this study resolved it, the objective(s), etc.

The main objective of the study is to investigate the impact of the presence of the nanoinclusion on the interfacial stresses of nanofiber reinforced nanocomposite which eventually will be the initiation of the failure to due stress rise.

The abstract is reconstructed again to emphasis on the objective of the study and the results.

Introduction:

This section is not included the historical review, comparing other similar works. The references selection is not acceptable.

line: 120: what is the meaning "the a" in the following sentence?

"The mechanical properties of the a are considered to be isotropic."

Mistakes are corrected wherever exist and new references are added regarding nanoinclusions studies .

Results and discussion:

There are not any statistical evaluations as well as the experimental design procedures. Optimization methods must be used.

Discussions and interpretations must be improved instead of reporting the basic fundamentals.

Figures are re-organized in details to elucidate the impact of the corner, horizontal and the vertical nanoinclusion separately and discussed briefly.

Conclusion:

There are not any novelties in this section. It's just the formal sentences.

Conclusion is written again to reflects the detailed results and focuses on the main important results.

References:

There are many references in this regards newer (after 2012), which are not cited. The authors must refer to the new references in the subject of study.

New references are added as needed especially for the inclusion.
Note: Most of the references are cited with their corresponding doi.